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Chair's Annual Assessment of Faculty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Faculty Member Name:</th>
<th>.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department:</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair:</td>
<td>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>11/27/2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Complete Assessment for each faculty member**

**On what basis have you compiled this assessment? (Please check the boxes that apply.)**

- [ ] 1. I have read student evaluations
- [ ] 2. I have observed in the classroom
- [ ] 3. I have observed in the studio
- [ ] 4. I have observed in rehearsal
- [ ] 5. I have observed performances and/or presentations
- [ ] 6. I have listened to recordings
- [ ] 7. I have reviewed publications
- [ ] 8. I have reviewed the Faculty Activities Reports
- [ ] 9. Other: e.g. student performances or presentations

**Teaching**

1-Needs Improvement | 2-Good | 3-Exceptional

- [ ] 1. Effectiveness in teaching less advanced students 2
- [ ] 2. Effectiveness in teaching more advanced students 2
- [ ] 3. Effectiveness in recruiting talented students 2
- [ ] 4. Depth of knowledge of the subject matter taught 2
- [ ] 5. Currency of the subject matter taught 2
- [ ] 6. Response of students to the faculty member 2
- [ ] 7. Teaching load in comparison to others in the department 2
- [ ] 8. Effectiveness in mentoring students 2

**Strengths as a teacher:**

- [ ] .

**Weaknesses as a teacher:**

- [ ] .

**Suggested overall rating in teaching:**

- [ ] 2

**Creative and Professional Activities and Research**

Chair's Annual Assessment of Faculty
(1-Needs Improvement | 2-Good | 3-Exceptional)

1. Relative importance of the locations, journals, sponsoring organizations, etc., of his/her/their professional activities 2

2. Quality of his/her/their contributions as distinguished from quantity 2

3. Impact of his/her/their professional activities on his/her/their field at large 2

4. Respect in which he/she/they are held by peers and leaders in his/her/their field 2

What were the greatest professional contributions of this faculty member to his/her/their field this year? .

In what respects would a change of direction or emphasis be helpful with respect to this faculty member's professional activities? .

Suggested overall rating in creative and professional activities and research: 2

Service

(1-Needs Improvement | 2-Good | 3-Exceptional)

1. Effectiveness in advising students 2

2. Quality of contributions to the department 2

3. Quality of contributions as a member of SMTD 2

4. Quality of contributions to the University 2

5. Quality of contributions to the professional discipline 2

What were the most important service contributions of this faculty member? .

In what ways could the service contributions of this faculty member be more effective? .

Does this person effectively and collegially contribute to the mission of the department? .

Suggested overall rating in service: 2

Please provide additional comments if applicable:
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Do you want to review the student evaluations?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. I approve the submitted effort allocation in Teaching, Creative/Professional Activities and Research, and Service.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>